

	Would removing the goal posts have a negative impact on you or someone you know?		Would you like to see improvements made to the open space based on the proposed design?		Are there any other comments you would like to make regarding this area of open space?
1	No	It would stop young men playing football till very late into the night.. being very loud. And the use of very bad language.	Yes		This open space is frequently used for people smoking drugs.. Hopefully with the goal post gone this bad behaviour will
2	No	Can't think when I've last seen people playing football on the green. Farington Park is in walking distance and is better equipped for football.	No		The design looks really good and the space would be made even more accessible to dog walkers who get a lot of use out of it. The paths would be great for wheelchairs, prams or people who can't easily walk on unmanaged grass. Love the lights.
3	Yes	That goal post is a staple of many people's child hood it must stay for the childhood of other kids	No	Keep it as is and leave us alone	Leave us alone
4	No	I do see a lot of kids and families use these goal posts. I think even if they were left on it would be ok in the middle.	Yes	I walk my dog regularly on this green so the path would be an added bonus. I love the idea of the lot bollards as it is very dark on here especially in winter. I also think the bench would be lovely as it gives the locals somewhere to sit and chat.	
5	Yes	This wouldn't necessarily have an impact on me but I do regularly walk my dog on this field and see many young people use these to have a fun kick around with a football.	Yes	I'm personally happy for the proposed plans for this park however The only thing I'm concerned about is speeding cars around the bend of 26 Leverhouse lane leading up to the entrance of this park & children leaving the playing field.	Safer measures around the entrance/exit of this playing field with regards speeding vehicles.
6	No	They are in a poor state and just encourage a water and mud	Yes	I would like the outside edge (beyond the walkway) to be left to nature not cut	It is popular with dog walkers and it would be a real shame to lose this asset. I also think this would cause opposition to the proposal. Waste bins would be needed. I feel strongly that the outer edge could be a real asset to nature. I volunteer for Leyland Hedgehog Rescue and sometimes release Hedgehog into this area when they can't go back to where they come from.
7	No	The field is that full of dog poo it's rarely used by children.	Yes		Please ensure it is actually maintained going forward otherwise this is pointless.
8	No	Not at all.	Yes	This looks great! A much better use of the land in my opinion.	Lighting and hard landscaped pathways are a great idea especially for the winter months when this space becomes unusable.
9	No		Yes		Dog waste bin needs to be available. Bollards to prevent off road motorcycle access.
10	Yes	We live [locally] and my 2 Young children love playing football on there alot of people on my street would like that area to be turned into a player area also.	Yes	A play area so my children have park facilities on there doorstep.	Keep the goalposts put some seating and lighting in but make the rest of the space a playarea.
11	No	I have rarely seen the goal posts being used for their intended use,	Yes	Yes I would love to see improvements made to this space and a lovely seating area would be beneficial to many including myself being disabled would give me somewhere to go that is close by in a lovely serene	A lovely community space with a mixture of wild flowers and a community allotment would be amazing
12	No		Yes		Please include bins for dog waste

13	No	I use this field to exercise my dog, removal of the goal posts would not impact us unless it stopped me from letting my dog walk on the area	No	Happy with the space as it is as it has been for the 21 years I have lived here	
14	No		No	Just seems pointless waste of funds. This space is fine for people exercising dogs off lead as it currently is, perhaps just improve the hedge/fencing to make it more secure. Or put a small kids play area there, but not this.	
15	No	The goal posts are corroded so look a mess	Yes	A little play park area for the kids And maybe something like a maze	A picnic area
16	Yes	We as a family regularly use this space for our daughter to practise her football skills, though options are available at Farington park which is closer to our home address this is grass and not tarmac. Daughter is a FA registered player age 10.	Yes	Your plans look good but goalposts should still be included within the loop footpath on a level playing area.	This space has been used as football training by children for over 40 years, this is known as I used to play football on here when I was a child. We should be encouraging children to play sports and not removing what we have available
17	Yes	As someone who has lived near the park since I was born (I'm 23), I believe this would have a negative impact on the younger generation. I and many of my friends from this area used to play using the goal post, I still see children using them today.	No	The space is better utilised now with the goal post, I would argue new goalpost would be better. I'm aware there are dog walkers in this area but I fear the path would encourage dog poo which I believe is already a big issue in the surrounding area.	<p>I would ask the question that if you get rid of the goal post where would the groups of young people who regularly utilise it go? There are no other areas of big green space on this side of Leyland. I would argue that the space would be better utilised if a further goalpost was put in and people could have 5 a side games on there with their friends.</p> <p>As someone who regularly walks on Leverhouse I see an unbelievable amount of dog poo and I believe adding a path around the park which is clearly aimed at dog walkers would increase the amounts of dog fouling that would not be picked up by owners.</p> <p>I do however like the implementation of the benches. Parents could take their children and whilst their children could play some football or do a cross bar challenge, something myself and my friends used to spend hours doing on that park, they could sit down and relax.</p>
18	Yes	They're isn't enough places for kids to play football as it is, so please don't take away another one. I can't see why both designs can't co-exist. There is a big empty space in the middle of the design that would be ideal for goalposts	Yes	Do both. Include the upgrades but don't take away the goalpost	
19	Yes	There is no other place local for the children to play football!!!!!! This is an enclosed and safe place for the children	No	Wasting money!!! Either leave it as it is or change it back to a play park that it used to be! It is too small an area for a walkway benches and lights!!!	<p>Leave things alone and think about the children for once!!</p> <p>We have enough antisocial behavior in the area without you taking yet another place where children can go.</p>
20	No	I don't allow my children to play football in this space due to dog mess in the field	Yes	Ensure there is waste bins	This would be a great use of the space and I would use this daily with my family

21	Yes	There are families with children who live in the surrounding streets that use it to play football/games. It has always been a park not a green. There is no where else for them to play safely the alternative would be the streets which isn't safe.	No	It should be kept as it is a space to play for children and dogs . I walk past and love seeing people of all ages. Dads playing and practicing football with their children and teenagers playing football especially in the summer.	I was born in George Street 55 years ago it has always been a park and was known as George Street park not lever house green. I think it will encourage antisocial behaviour if there are benches . There is a lot of drug dealing in the area we are always finding empty snap bags when we are walking the dog. It will provide an area away from the road for this to happen. It should be kept as a park . I would like to know who has come up with the idea and why and where the funding is coming from. There are lots of things need improving in the area that the money could be spent on. All the green spaces that I used to play on as a child have been built on apart from the park. Children need to have space to play freely and safely so it needs to be kept as a park with the goal posts. Don't fix what isn't broken.
22	No		Yes		I think it would be great for the community to do this
23	Yes	Removing them will give the children no place to play sport safely. It will leave them with no option but play on the roads.this will create neighbour issues and ASB	No	It has never been an issue . Benches and lights will attract youths and street drinkers.drug users.just look at other areas where this has been done.I work for the police and have witnessed this. Generations use the area and it is safe .	Lived in area over 30years, never been called Lever House Green.it is a unique area ,don't know of any other goalposts ,only MUGA . Did have other play equipment on but slowly removed rather than improved Invest money into other services ,Don't create issues that are not there
24	No		Yes		Concerned the plan will push footballs to the back of the field on the mown area. Maybe leaving it to wildflower or plant with shrubs a little further than shown on the plan would prevent any disturbance to those whose gardens back onto the field.
25	Yes	My sons use this goal post. Infact it would be great to have another installed and the ground to be flattened a bit. There's hardly any green spaces these days for kids to play football	No	It will just become even more full of dog poo from lazy owners	
26	No		No		

27	No		Yes	<p>This is fantastic! It provides an accessible path for those w/ mobility impairments AND having a path means there solid place to walk all year round (it gets very boggy in winter) it's lit too providing a safer place to walk, feeling less vulnerable</p>	<p>I think this is a solution for many people who:</p> <p>Would like to have a walk locally in some green space and they do t have to walk / drive / go very far for it</p> <p>Having a path means it's accessible all year round and for everyone particularly if they're limited with their mobility. They can also have a break too as there will be seats available.</p> <p>Would like to walk their dogs / let them have a run around</p> <p>Have a seat to enjoy the space, have a rest if needed, watch their dogs having fun, have a chat with others</p> <p>Who have children they can still have a play about in this area</p> <p>It's lit, it feels safer when it's dark, particularly in winter months</p> <p>There's still areas where there are little eco systems and habitats for flora and fauna.</p>
28	No	Although I have a young child, I would not take them here to play football, I would take them to Farington park instead (or other local parks such as Worden).	Yes		If dogs are permitted, they should be on short leads only. Fed up with the amount of dog poo on Farington park due to dog owners being oblivious to what their dog is doing when walking behind them. Don't want to see the new proposed Leverhouse Green in the same state.
29	No		Yes		
30	Yes	Why remove them. There is existing space in the middle. There is already a limited amount of places for kids to play football. Don't remove another option	Yes	Keep that goalposts	Don't ask q stupid question about gender identify. What has that got to do with this survey. It's just a barrier to people resounding as there is no option to say not applicable
31	No		Yes		

32	No		Yes	However there are some points below I think need taking into consideration.	<p>1) The grass areas outside of the path should be left to grow, without being mown, as a wildlife habitat.</p> <p>2) The Brambles and Trees/Shrubbery along the back, where it meets the properties on The Cloisters should be of greater density to reduce possible access onto those properties. This also applies on the side by #4 Convent Close.</p> <p>3) There is nothing on the plan to indicate the siting of refuse/ dog waste bins. These need to be considered on the park area, not simply rely on the existing ones on the street.</p> <p>4) Will the new bollards with lights provide enough illumination to discourage ASB activity, or does some additional lighting need to be considered?</p>
33	No		Yes	Doggy bins are a must. Better pavement access would also be good.	
34	No	Just encouraging football	Yes	It is a mess and underused apart from kits being noisy and leaving a mess	It needs better lighting. It needs bins. It needs a lot more plants (spikes are best) around the area next to the houses. The outside should be left to grow and not cut. (not even twice a year as this disturbs nesting) Wild flowers would be nice. The centre grass cut with flower beds but could be
35	No	If the football posts were removed it would not really have much impact as they are not used on regular basis by local children on the estate.	Yes	The pathway and lighting would be a welcome improvement to this area. The pathway would be beneficial to local dog walkers as the green is used by many local residents. I am not keen on the seating being put in.	As the bottom of my garden is close to the bottom of this area I do have concerns that if seating was put in then it may encourage youths to collect in the evenings during the summer which may become a gathering place to drink and smoke where they can get comfortable during summer time.
36	Yes	This is the only space locally for children to play near home and in a traffic-free space. The goalposts allow play with friends, safely away from cars. Farington Park is too far for young children to walk to and involves crossing busy roads.	Yes	There still needs to be an area included for children to play with friends away from traffic. The design of the path seems to be deliberately placed to prevent children playing.	I agree that the space is currently underused as a whole, but should be focussed towards giving younger children an area to play independently and safely with their friends. I'm not sure removing play space and replacing it with a dog walking track can be applauded, there is already an issue with people leaving dog mess.

37	No	No but it's not an issue having them, either way	No		The only concern I have is regarding the semi-circular seating as the park attracts gangs of youths with anti-social behaviour at times and this might encourage them more - particularly as our house backs on the park at the corner where this bench is proposed. An alternative suggestion would be to have a couple of extra individual benches spaced out around the paths instead. Love the rest of the design and the planting of more trees (hopefully native varieties such as birch, goat willow and alder, which would suit the heavy clay soil and be hugely beneficial to the wild birds). It would also be lovely to see the perimeters planted with native wild flowers instead of just grass and maybe lots of lovely spring bulbs too such as daffodils. It could be a lovely uplifting and peaceful little area for local residents and families - this would be great to see instead of it just being used as a toilet for dogs / a place for gangs to hang about, as it is currently being used. Glad to see it being
38	No	Removal of goalposts is great idea as there are other similar things nearby.	Yes	Path around park is an excellent idea as area gets wet and boggy in winter. Path provides much better accessibility for different people of differing ages etc. Seating area great too.	Waste bin in the park as well as outside would also be a great addition. Extra note :- Waste bin outside sometimes overflowing, so regular emptying is ESSENTIAL.
39	No		Yes	A surfaced footpath and lighting will allow me to use the park in the winter and evenings to walk my dog.	